1998 Washoe County School Bond: Is Accountability Still the Issue?

ISBN#

Prepared by

George Kucera and Ted Harris

NPRI would like to especially acknowledge the research effort of Ted Harris of Incline Village who served on the Elementary School Overcrowding Committee and analyzed the individual school population figures supplied him by Washoe County School District, and George Kucera, Attorney with Devco Properties based in Reno. Through the volunteer efforts of these gentlemen, this study was made possible.

Policy Papers are published for educational purposes only, and the authors speak for themselves. Nothing written here is to be construed as necessarily representing the views of Nevada Policy Research Institute or as an attempt to influence any election or legislative action.

Nevada Policy Research Institute is a public policy center serving Nevada and the nation. Established in 1991, its mission is to marshal the best research analysis on today's governmental, economic, educational and environmental issues, and to build consensus on strategies for resolving them consistent with the truths of the Declaration of Independence. Issue papers and other publications covering the items mentioned can be ordered from our office.

Nevada Policy Research Institute P.O. Box 20312 Reno, Nevada 89515-0312 (702) 786-9600

SCHOOL BOND BATTLE LINES ARE FORMING IS ACCOUNTABILITY STILL THE ISSUE?

"Would that I could discover truth as easily as I can uncover falsehood."

Although attributed to Cicero, the above quote could as easily be applied to citizens of Washoe County and Incline Village who are seeking facts surrounding the need for another school bond requested by the Washoe County School Board (WCSB). In an attempt to independently analyze the need, NPRI examined the population statistics of each elementary school and high school in the district. These figures were then subjected to the current rules for multi-tracking adopted in 1997 by the same school board. Our findings confirm that the present bond proposal is based on two false premises:

- First, the need for new elementary schools is grossly overstated when the adopted Multi-Track policy is taken into account.
- Second, the projected Washoe County growth rate is overstated in light of new information from the state demographer.

CONTENT OF THE PROPOSED BOND ISSUE

As proposed by the Washoe County School Board, the 1998 bond issue has two parts:

Part A

Part A proposes to raise \$130,982,000 to construct three new high schools, in Spanish Springs, North Valley and Southeast Washoe County. Each would be capable of servicing 1,800 students, except for the Southeast high school which would have a capacity of 1,500. Part A of the bond issue is independent of Part B, although Part B cannot pass without the passage of Part A.

Part A, dealing with the high school bonds, is claimed to be "revenue neutral" by the bond's supporters. The "revenue neutral" claim is a serious misstatement of fact. When previously issued bonds were retired, the Washoe County School District had the option of decreasing the tax rate by \$0.06 cents instead they chose to reduce the tax rate by a minimal amount of \$0.015. As of this date, the district continues to collect the difference of \$0.045, which should have been refunded to the taxpayers. Part A is not "revenue neutral."

Part B

Part B of the 1998 bond issue proposes to raise \$47,146,000 to construct four new elementary schools, a technical/alternative use facility, and will upgrade and reconstruct the existing schools. It would increase property taxes by \$0.0565 above their present levels. The *real* tax increase for parts A and B of the bond will be \$0.10 per hundred dollars of assessed valuation payable over the next 20 years.

Part B cannot pass on its own; it must pass with Part A. The Washoe County School District November 1998 School Bond Information Packet states that if Part B does not pass, "it is likely that the District will have to seek passage of another school bond as early as the year 2000 to build the schools contained in Part B of the bond question."

Opponents of the 1998 bond issue find this argument disingenuous, especially in light of the fact that current district policy states, "no new schools will be built until multi-track capacity has been exhausted." Using the Washoe County School District's own figures, as presented in the Long-Range Facilities Plan, the current enrollment as of 10/1/97 within the 53 elementary schools is 29,602. Capacity without multi-tract is 34,469 which shows there are 5,964 vacant available "seats" within the 53 elementary schools. With the multi-track policy, the capacity increases to a stunning total of 45,643. Changing from the traditional nine month calendar to a multi-track calendar has increased the elementary school potential capacity by 11,174 "seats." This capacity, in dollars and cents, equals saving the community the expense of building fourteen (14) new elementary schools over the next seventeen years. Elementary schools cost approximately \$9,000,000 each, multiplied by 14 schools equals savings of \$126,000,000. Asking the voters for three new elementary schools in the Truckee Meadows is in direct contradiction of stated policy and cannot, by any measure, be justified at the present time.

The attached charts (charts, "Elementary School" section, page 2) illustrates the situation in the "North Valley Schools", one of the 14 Planning Areas utilized by the WCSD. Of the six elementary schools, only one, Alice Smith, was overcrowded during the 1996 school year, and then by only 65 students. The Alice Smith School has, as of this fall been converted to a multi-track schedule thereby increasing capacity by 136 children. The overall enrollment in the "North Valleys" schools is 3,383 children. Traditional schedule capacity is 4,172, the total capacity with multi-track is now 5,623.

This obviates the need for additional elementary schools in this "Planning Area" while adhering to the present policy for multi-tracking. Using the Washoe County School District figures, the "North Valleys" elementary schools would appear to be self-sufficient beyond the year 2015.

If the WCSD figures are to be believed, the elementary school system is self sufficient for some appreciable time into the future. Future analysis will be required to determine the necessity for additional schools by utilizing *realistic* growth projections. But for the present through 2015, schools not currently required should not be funded.

THE MULTI-TRACK MANDATE

1. To its credit, the Washoe County School District has installed the multi-track plan within the elementary school system that was mandated in 1997. The directive stated that no new schools were to be built "until available multi-track capacity is exhausted." As of this date, the district has converted 5 elementary schools to a

multi-track schedule and others will change over as the need arises. Unpredictable growth could still occur within a given demographic area and when multi-track capacity is exhausted we believe the voters would readily support bonds to build the necessary schools. The decision to add Part B is inconsistent and in direct contradiction with the stated policy. Considering multi-track capacity, it is very difficult to understand the need or justification for the three new valley elementary schools.

- 2. Portable classrooms, currently available and owned by the WCSD, were not factored into its projections of needed capacity. Currently, the portable classrooms, with multi-track utilization, would add an additional 1,945 seats to the elementary school capacity, and they have the advantage of being moved where they are needed most.
- 3. The WCSD's estimated rate of growth within the school population, as well as the geographical areas in which the estimated growth will occur, are also in dispute. Since 1997, Washoe County School District has used a 4.47 % growth rate as its plumb line for future planning. In a Gazette-Journal article dated 3/31/98, the state demographer, Dean Judson is quoted as "forecasting a slowdown in the county's growth rate, which has averaged 2.6 percent annually in the 1990's and expects the rate to drop below 2 percent." Given the above excess current and future capacity, actual growth can be determined in time to bond and construct schools actually needed instead of building the schools first and hoping that they will be utilized. No formal demographic study has ever been done to determine growth or growth patterns; the WCSD used the figures from the regional transportation agencies to show where growth is presently occurring, and estimated growth patterns based on past experience.
- 4. Other areas of concern about the proposed 1998 bond issue include the aspects of privatization of services and the lack of open WCSD meetings for union contract negotiations. The 1996 bond debate centered on efficiency and proper utilization of available funds for the schools. These debates continue, particularly in light of the problems with the food service program that have surfaced since the 1996 bond issue.
- 5. The Overcrowding Committee also recommended that the district should institute a program of re-zoning children within the various "Planning Areas." Referring to the attached capacity analysis, it is obvious that while some schools are approaching capacity, other schools within a given area are under capacity. Clark County does rezoning on a regular basis. The WCSD should be doing the same.

Critics of Part B, however, recognize the need for some items currently contained in this aspect of the bond issue. They acknowledged and recommended that \$9,000,000. of Part B, the renovation and upgrading expenses for existing schools should have been added to Part A, and that the satellite elementary school proposed for Incline Village, at a cost of \$8,000,000, is needed.

The problem of high school overcrowding is a different matter. It is generally conceded that there is an overcrowding problem within the high school system. Under the present guidelines for multi-track school conversions, the high school system is omitted. Policy proposals recently introduced encourage the incorporation of high school freshmen within the middle school, thus creating a middle/junior high school with these students. The middle schools, utilizing a multi-track approach, currently have 4,877 seats available, which would reduce by this amount the need for additional high schools.

Opponents also question why high schools with a maximum capacity of only 1,800 students are being planned when Clark County is currently constructing high schools with a maximum capacity of 2,700 students. These schools cost only 10 percent more that the anticipated WCSD high schools with capacities of 1,800 students.

Instead of completely constructing a new high school in Southeast Washoe Valley, Galena High School could be expanded to accommodate an additional 1,000 students on the existing 60 acre campus. This would avert the necessity for an additional high school in the Southeast for the foreseeable future, and result in an increased efficiency of the existing facilities.

CONCLUSION

On February 16, 1997, in his "opinion column" editorial in the *Reno Gazette-Journal*, Frank Partlow expresses his optimism about the future 1998 bond issue. He opined that, "When voters are asked as early as 1998 to bond new high schools, it won't again be campaign of us vs. them, but us and them vs. the problem, because us and them have got to participate actively in building agreed solutions to an agreed problem."

Unfortunately, it has again, boiled down to "us vs. them." There is presently a grassroots campaign being mounted to defeat one or more parts of the 1998 bond issue. As stated in a May 26, 1998 letter to Dave Aiazzi and six other members of the Washoe County Debt Management Commissioner, Ted Harris wrote: "The small tax increase necessary to fund Part B is not the issue here. The issue is accountability to the voters. By including an unjustified Part B to the ballot the district risks the failure of Part A of the bond, where the need truly exists." NPRI assesses the need for Part A as highly inflated also.

In the meantime, support for the 1998 bond issue is being generated by organizations such as the Builders Association of Northern Nevada (BANN), an organization whose members stand to reap a direct financial impact from the passage of the 1998 bond and the new construction it would fund. BANN has engaged the services of an advertising agency, R&R Advertising, and has formed a political action committee, *Yes, Yes for Kids/Bann-PAC* to solicit contributions from BANN members to support the passage of the bond issue. It hopes to raise a \$250,000 advertising "war chest" with which to launch a media campaign. It is interesting to note that BANN has steadfastly opposed imposition of school impact fees that would contribute one or two thousand dollars per home toward building any new schools that growth demands.

The ultimate question is whether the taxpayers are getting the most for their tax dollars, and whether the WCSD is efficiently managing it's existing resources. Considering the Washoe County School District's own figures and policies, this does not appear likely. By including Part B in the 1998 bond issue, it may have fatally damaged Part A, which even the Washoe County School Bond opponents admit is necessary, albeit with some modification.

In the ensuing struggle, with both sides using the same facts and figures to support their position, truth and the students are likely to be the only casualties.

	WCSD C	apacities	& Growth	Projection	าร				ELE	MENTAF	YY SCHO	IOLS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	Number Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	Number Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enrollees	Over / 20
									·				
Ea	ist Sparks												
Diedrichson	475	676	201	60%	900			463					
Dunn	637	704	67	90%	936			617					
Moss	473	624	151	60%	830			525			1		
TOTAL	1585	2004			2666		2666	1605	1598	1808	1829	1923	
					Capac	ity Utiliza	tion =						
Spanish Spr	ings and l	Vortheast			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		 						
Beasley	543	648	105	84%	862			620		<u> </u>	I	Π	
Juniper	526	648	122	81%	862			515					
Spanish Springs	737	648	89	113%	862			797				1	
Taylor	581	621	43	93%	830			680					
Whitehead	478	648	170	74%	862			505					
TOTAL	2865	3213			4278		4278	3117	3892	4402	5407	5685	1407
New School 20						ADD	862			5140			
New School 20	110					ADD	862				6002		
			7.44		Capac	ity Utiliza	tion =						
	~ 								Jim. 1				
	Core North							15.1		r			
Cannon (2)	756	704	52	128%	936	75		424				-	
Drake (2)	449	592	143	76%	787	7.5		484				 	
Greenbrae (2)	390	452	62	86%	452	75		415		 	 		
Lincoln Park	579	592	13	98%	787			477	ļ		 		
Matthews (new '97)	0	648	648	0%	862	 		660					
Maxwell (2)	467	564	97	83%	750	75		441				<u> </u>	
Mitchell (4)	335	452	117	74%	452	149		379			ļ	<u> </u>	ļ
Risley (4)	680	656	24	104%	872	149		562	ļ <u>.</u>	 _			<u> </u>
K. Smith (2) TOTAL	381 4073	396 5056	15	96%	386 6284	75 58 9	6882	340 4182	3400	3845	3670	3858	5880 F 190
		51155		5 国 (2000年) 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	**************************************		~~		C.F. 1814 S	C1:9.65		C4:454:4	20 Television 1997

	WCSD C	apacities	& Growth	Projection	ns				ELE	MENTAF	RY SCHO	OLS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	Number Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	Number Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enrollees	Over / 201
Near	North Vall	eys	<u> </u>										
Allen (2)	794	624	170	125%	830	149		732			T	T	
Bennett (New 98)	0	648	648	0%	862								
Palmer (2)	607	624	17	97%	830	75		695					
Sun Valley	568	648	80	88%	862			636					· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTAL	1969	2544		(3) (4) (1)	3384	224	3608		1735	1962	1949	2049	1559
					Capac	ity Utilize	tion =	A DADON					
No	orth Valleys	S				<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
Desert Heights	372	648	276	57%	862		T	409	Γ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		** *****
Gomes	522	620	98	84%	825			492					
Lemmon Valley	650	816	166	80%	1085			682					
Silver Lake	556	624	68	89%	830			553					
A. Smith (2)	713	648	65	114%	861	75		725			·		
Stead	548	816	268	67%	1085			522					
TOTAL	3361	4172			5548	75	5623	3383	4029	4557	4869	5119	
					Capac	ity Utiliza	tion =						
Urban	Core (Cer	ntral)	····									<u></u>	
Sierra Vista (1)	468	396	72	118%	396	38		452		, ,			
Duncan (4)	645	620	25	104%	862	149		586					
TOTAL	1113	1016	97	110%	1258	187	1145	1038	1295	1465	1379	1450	5
						ity Utilize		-7.20%					
					- -		•		=				
Nea	r SouthWe	est	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	····
Caughlin	511	624	113	83%	830			506					
Gomm	528	592	64	98%	592			539		<u> </u>			,
TOTAL	1039	1216			1422		1422	1045	336	380	653	687	735
					Capac	ity Utiliza	tion =	1.24					

	WCSD C	apacities	& Growth	Projection	ns				ELE	MENTAF	RY SCHO	OLS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	Number Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	Number Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enroll ees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enroile es	Over / 201
Urban C	ore (South	west)					·····			<u></u>	<u> </u>	J	<u> </u>
Anderson (4)	578	620	42	93%	620	149		500					
Beck	483	620	137	78%	798			512					
Hunter Lake	452	480	110	77%	480			481					
Mount Rose (2)	466	368	98	127%	368	78		373					
TOTAL	1979	2088			2266	227	2493	1866	3429	3879	3718	3909	1416
S	puthwest		<u></u>				<u> </u>		······································		et egan a és de les	**************************************	
Huffaker	659	624	35	106%	830			603					
lunsberger (New 97)	0	648	648	0%	862			470			`		
Lena	502	592	90	85%	787			515					
Pleasant Valley	404	648	244	62%	862			461					·
TOTAL	1565	2512			3341 Capac	ity Utiliza	3341 tion =	2049		2131	2068	2174	
Urban C	ore (North	west)		T				<u> </u>		<u> </u>	er etter die der en die		
Elmcrest (4)	520	564	44	92%	750	149		497					
Peavine	368	452	84	81%	452			435					
	461	536	75	86%	536			464					
Towles				+				 	·				
Towles Warner	434	592	158	73%	787			495					

the the the term that the term to be to be to be the term to be th

	WCSD C	apacities	& Growth	Projection	าร				ELE	MENTAR	RY SCHO	OLS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	Number Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	Number Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enrollees	Over / 20
N	lorthwest												
Verdi	355	396	41	90%	396			368					
Westegard	596	624	28	95%	830			603					
Winnemucca	644	648	4	99%	862			702					
TOTAL	1595	1668			2088		2088	1673	3063	2465	3618	3808	1720
New School 20						ADD	862		2950				858
New School 2	005					ADD	862			3812			4
					Capac	ity Utiliza	tion =						
Urban C	ore (South	neast)									<u> </u>		
Booth (2)	596	648	52	92%	861	75		633					
Corbett (4)	651	620	31	105%	825	149		553			<u> </u>		
Dodson	536	648	112	83%	862			511					
Loder	421	480	59	88%	480			477				l	
Veterans	478	480	2	99%	480			514					
TOTAL	2682	2876		3370	3508	224	3732	2688	2867	3242	3172	3335	
A CALADA	LUUL	2010				ity Utiliza							
						,		Mar Carette of Little					
	outheast			ř			<u> </u>	<u></u>			<u></u>	<u></u>	····
		004	1	4000	000	000					I	<u></u>	
Brown (6)	801	624	177	128%	830	223		554					
Donner Springs	636	648	12	98%	862			654		,			
Hidden Valley	305	624	319	49%	830			313					
Smithridge	636	880	244	72%	1170			708					
TOTAL	2378	2776			3692	223	3915	2229	3549	4014	5132	5396	1481
New School 2				ļ		ADD	862			4777			
New School 2			<u> </u>			ADD	862				5639		
New School 2	JI5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ADD ity Utiliza	862	-6 50%			L	6501	91

	WCSD C	apacities	& Growth	Projectio	ns				ELE	MENTAR	Y SCHO	OLS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	Number Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Canacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	i Track i	Number Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enroll ees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrol loes	2015 Enrollees	Over / 2015

SUB TO	TAL VAL	LEY		<u> </u>					·····	<u> </u>		
	27951	33285	5668	85%	42260	1907	44167	28829	32329	37658	39847	41898
					Capac	ity Utiliza	ation =					
Total Additional	Elementa	ry School	Capacity	with 7 Ne	ew Schoo	ls			862	2586	1724	862

0	utlying Area	S											
Incline (1)	658	732	47	91%	974	38	1012	702	692	727	764	803	209
Johnson	51	140	89	36%	140		140	54	58	68	72	75	65
Natchez	164	312	160	51%	324		324	162	187	218	230	242	82
	900	1184			1438	38	1476	918	937	1013	1066	1120	

Capacity Utilization =

TOTAL I	ELEMENT	ARY CAPA	CITY & F	PROJECT	ION								
Total Elementary	28851	34469	5964	84%	43698	1945	45643	29747	33266	38671	40913	43018	2625
		Enro	Ilment %	Increase E	Elementan	Schools		3.70%					
		Enrollment	Number	Increase E	Elementan	y Schools							

	WCSD	Capaciti	es & Grow	ith Project	ions					MIDDLE	SCHOO	LS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	# Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	# Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enro llees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enrollees	Over / 2015
	ast Spark												
Mendive	883	950	67	93%	1264		1264	906	401	450	456	479	785
Spanish	Springs & I	Vortheast	<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	- 			
none	Ō	0	0	0%	0		0		1133	1273	1602	1685	1685
l lab an	Cara (Mari							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					2 - 12 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
	Core (Nor	<u> </u>	1 00		004		004	F00	700	004			T
Dilworth Sparks	624 786	725 850	99 64	93%	964 1131		964 1131	599 816	769 Inc.	864 Inc.	730 Inc.	767 Inc.	197 1131
Sparks	1 700	000		50%	1101	I	7101	010	inc.	HIC.	nic.	mc.	1 1131
Nea	r North Va	lleys	<u></u>			<u> </u>	<u></u>		. <u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
none	0	0	0	0%	0		0		408	459	431	453	453
Urba	n Core (Ce	ntral)	·			i			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>. 1. 1</u>	
Traner	411	750	339	55%	998		998	439	289	325	281	295	703
Com	bine: REZ	ONE	<u> </u>			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		<u>. 15. deji ee. e. e</u>	<u> </u>
TOTAL	2704	3275			4357 Capa	city Utiliz	4357 ation =	2740	3000	3371	3500	3679	

	North Valle	ys							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	<u></u>	-
O'Brien	802	1050	248	76%	1397	1397	816	1020	1147	1197	1259	138
					Capacity	Utilization =						

	WCSD	Capaciti	es & Grow	vth Project	tions					MIDDLE	SCHOO	LS	
School Capacity by Planning Area	# Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	# Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enro llees	2015 Enrol lees	Over / 2015
	Northwest					_							
Billnighurst	903	975	72	93%	1297		1397	986	758	852	829	872	575
					Capa	city Utiliz	ation =						
Urban	Core (Nort	thwest)						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································			<u></u>
Clayton	632	775	143	82%	1031		1031	656	499	561	483	507	376
Urban	Core (Sou	theast)											
Pine	860	1075	215	80%	1430		1430	883	644	747	667	701	729
			215 92	80% 88%	1430 998		1430 998	883 639	644 Inc.	747 Inc.	667 Inc.	701 Inc.	
Pine Vaughn	860	1075 750											729 998
Pine Vaughn	860 658	1075 750											998
Pine Vaughn	860 658 Southwest	1075 750 0	92	88%	998		998		inc.	Inc.	inc.	Inc.	
Pine Vaughn	860 658 Southwest 0	1075 750 0	92	88%	998		998		inc.	Inc.	inc.	Inc.	998
Pine Vaughn none	860 658 Southwest 0	1075 750 0	92	88% 0%	998		998		Inc.	Inc. 487	Inc. 838	Inc. 881	998

Near Southernone 0 Urban Core (Sort Swope (1) 868 Combine: REATOTAL 868 Middle School Stab Total Additional Middle School Stab Total 7457 Total Additional Middle School Stab Total Additional Middle School S	west 0 uthwest) 825 ZONE 825 Gub-Total	0 Capacity	105%	0 1097 Capa	37 ncity Utili	Track Capacity 0	# Enrollees 10/1/97 815 -10.00%	2000 Enrollees 137 779	2005 Enrollees 154 875	2010 Enrollees 147 821	2015 Enrollees 154 863	Over / 2015
none 0 Urban Core (Sor Swope (1) 868 Combine: REATOTAL 868 Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Middle School S Outlying Sch	outhwest) 825 ZONE 825 Sub-Total	42 42	105%	1097 1097 Capa	37 acity Utili	1134 1134	815	779	875	821	863	er i Merci
none 0 Urban Core (Sor Swope (1) 868 Combine: REATOTAL 868 Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Middle School S Outlying Sch	outhwest) 825 ZONE 825 Sub-Total	42 42	105%	1097 1097 Capa	37 acity Utili	1134 1134	815	779	875	821	863	er i Merci
Urban Core (Sor Swope (1) 868 Combine: REX TOTAL 868 Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Midd	uthwest) 825 ZONE 825 Sub-Total	42	105%	1097 Capa	37 acity Utili	1134 1134	815	779	875		863	
Combine: REATOTAL 868 Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Middle Outlying Sch	825 ZONE 825 Gub-Total	42	105%	1097 Capa	37 acity Utili	1134	815		,		, 1 · · ·	271
Combine: REZ TOTAL 868 Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Midd	ZONE 825 Sub-Total	42		1097 Capa	37 ncity Utili	1134	815	916	1029	958	1017	
Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Midd	825 Sub-Total			Capa	city Utili:			916	1029	958	1017	
Middle School S Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Midd	Sub-Total			Capa	city Utili:							
Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Midd				£ 11607			<u> </u>					
Sub Total 7457 Total Additional Midd				11607			مستسند خسند					
Total Additional Midd	8725			11607								
Outlying Sch				i i UU /	37	11644	7555	8399	9403	9938	1047	119
	ile Scho	ol Capacit	y with 1 N	lew Sch	ool					1263	!	
				Capa	city Utili	zation =						0.500 m 600
			-		<u> </u>					-i		
incline 340		1	050/	T 000		1 000		405	ara .	470		1
	525	185	65%	698 Capa	city I Hili	698 zation =	341	405	451	478	502	196
					ony oun	200011	the second	Ì				
	<u></u>						<u>:</u>					
Middle School			v.	7 40005		40100	7000	0004	nare:			
Total 7799	AARA			12305	37	12432	7896	8804	9854	10416	10949	
	9250		ot % Incres	الماملا مي	a Sahaala	- I						
iddle Schools			nt % Increa				1.30%					

\	VCSD Cap	acities &	Growth F	rojection	S		HIGH SCHOOLS						
School Capacity by Planning Area	# Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	# Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enroll eos	2010 Enroll ees	2015 Enrollees	Over / 2015
	East Spark	ç											
No High School	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	682	731	755	794	794
Spanish	Springs &	Northeas	t		<u></u>						<u> </u>		
Reed (2)	2213	2100	113	105%	0	56	2156	2345	2015	2162	2721	2861	705
TOTAL	2213 New Scho	2100	113	105%	0	56 ADD	2156 1800	2345 3953	2697	2893	3476 3691	3655	1499
	INEM 2011	טטו בטטט			Cana	city Utiliza		3953			1 3031	L	
<u> </u>	lorth Valle		0	0%	0	n -	0	 	1815	1947	2033	2138	2138
	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0		1815	1947	2033	2138	2138
	M d Q	<u></u>	·····	T		 				 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	r North Va			004					700	770	720	700	7.00
No High School	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0		726	779	732	769	769
Urha	n Core (Ce	entral)	····	Ì		<u></u>	,	<u></u>			<u>, i </u>		
Hug (2)	1793	1650	143	109%	0	56	1706	1944	888	953	819	861	
		állas ar san las baras se site		ni ilian ara militara a	140.00					4 Y. J. 184 T. J. G. 184	18 7	and the same and the	1355 A 546 - 25 - 5
Urban	Core (Nor	thwest)											
No High School	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0		514	551	477	502	262
TOTAL	1793	1650	143	109%	0	56	1706	1944	3943	4201	4061	4270	2564
	New Scho	2000	 			ADD	1800		3506		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	695
					Capa	city Utiliza	ation =						

V	VCSD Cap	pacities 8	Growth F	rojection	S		HIGH SCHOOLS								
School Capacity by Planning Area	# Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	# Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enrollees	Over / 2015		
Ne	ar Southw	est													
No High School	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0		224	261	250	262	262		
Urban	Core (Sou	thwest)	<u></u>	<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7-71		<u>, , j</u>		
Reno (3)	1635	1525	110	107%	0	84	1609	1686	1386	1468	1394	1466	e e		
TOTAL	1635	1525	110	107%	0	84	1609	1686	1609	1729	1644	1748	119		
					Capa	city Utiliza	ation =								
en e e															
	Northwest	· 							<u> </u>						
McQueen	1638	1600	38	102%	0		1600	1720	1348	1447	1408	1480			
					Capa	city Utiliza	ation =								
	Southeast	<u> </u>									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
No High School	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0		1913	2052	2473	2600	2600		
	Southwest	\ \													
Galena	1521	1675	154	91%	0	0	1675	1480	771	827	1423	1496			
Urban	Core (Sou	theastl											145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145 - 145		
Wooster	1323	1650	327	80%	0	0	1650	1431	1182	1268	1133	1191			
TOTAL	2844	3325					3325	2911	3866	4147	5029	5287	1962		
	New Scho	ool 2000				ADD	1800	5125					162		
					Capa	city Utiliza	ation =								

Planning Area 10/1996 11/2/3996 Capacity In Use Capacity Multi Track Track Capacity 10/197 Enrollees E	HIGH SCHOOLS	WCSD Capacities & Growth Projections							
Sparks (2)	Units with Track # Enrollees 2000 2005 2010 2015	10/1998 Capacity Over / % Capacity Multi Track Units wit							
Sparks (2)									
High School Sub-Total									
High School Sub-Total	56 1456 1682 1358 1467 1239 1303								
Sub Total 11769 11600 169 101% 0 252 11852 12289 14831 15927 16857 1777 15864 16420 17485 1838 1839 14831 15927 16857 1777 15364 16420 17485 1838 1839	nly Ounzadon -	Capacity Out							
Outlying Schools	engangan dangan gelak nyawarikin giyari an yang bagan atau an ang yang sebanan an ang gang sebanah an dang bag Tang tang	hool Sub-Total							
Capacity Utilization =									
Dutlying Schools									
Gerlack 68 275 0 61% 0 0 275 73 48 53 56 59 Total 475 775 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 500 415 485 540 572 601								
Total 475 775 0 0 775 488 533 593 628 660 High School Total Total 12244 12385 76 101% 0 252 12627 12777 15364 16420 17485 1838 Enrollment % Increase High Schools Enrollment Number Increase High Schools Washoe County School District Total									
Total 12244 12385 76 101% 0 252 12627 12777 15364 16420 17485 1838 Enrollment % Increase High Schools Enrollment Number Increase High Schools Washoe County School District Total									
Enrollment % Increase High Schools Enrollment Number Increase High Schools Washoe County School District Total		chool Total							
Enrollment Number Increase High Schools Washoe County School District Total									
Washoe County School District Total		<u> </u>							
	- Collocia	Cindinient Williber Increase riigii Ociloo							
Total 48892 56094 70702 50420	otal	Washoe County School District Total							
CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	70702 50420	48892 56094 288 288 288							
Enrollment % Increase All Schools Enrollment Number Increase All Schools									

	1	WCSD C	apacities l	& Growth F	rojections		,						
School Capacity by Planning Area	Number Enrollees 10/1/96	Capacity 11/25/96	Over / Capacity	% Capacity In Use	Multi Track Capacity	Portable Units with Multi Track	Total Multi Track Capacity	Number Enrollees 10/1/97	2000 Enrollees	2005 Enrollees	2010 Enrollees	2015 Enroll ees	Over / 2015
-	Total Eli	ementa	ırv Scho	ol Capa	city & Pr	oiection							
Total		34469			43698	1945	45643	29747	33266	38671	40913	43018	
		E		% Increase									
		Enrollm	ent Numb	er Increase	Elementa	ry Schools							
	Total	Middle	School	Capacit	√& Proi	ection							
Total	7799	9250			12305	37	12432	7896	8804	9854	10416	10949	
		OLUU.	Enrollm	ent % Incr	200							er and INVESTMENT	
		En	rollment N	umber Incr	ease Midd	le Schools							
											•		
	Tota	l High S	School C	apacity	& Proje	ction							
Total		12385	76	101%	0	252	12627	12777	15364	16420	17485	18383	
				llment % In									
		E	nrollment	Number In	crease Hig	h Schools							
	Wach		unty S	chool [)ietrict	Total							
Total	48892	56094	unity 3	ONIOOI L	Matrict	iviai	70702	50420	ľ				
	A00705		En	rollment %	Increase A	All Schools							
		 	Enrollme	nt Number	Increase A	All Schools							

Cumulative Total Summary